

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/534,191	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRIAN CHEW	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) BRIAN CHEW.

(2) DANIEL DE VOS (Reg. No. 37,813).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 April 2010

**Time:** 4:30PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10-11, 29, 31 and 41-42

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed proposed changes to put in condition for allowance, particularly with respect to defining "a further way". Minor informalities were also discussed. On 4/15/2010, Applicant agreed to amend claims 10, 27, 29, 31 and 41-42 according to Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

/B. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)